

SA-220 - Quality Control For an Audit of F.S.

↓
Implement QC procedures
at Engagement Level which provide
Reasonable Assurance.

SQC-1 → firm level
SA-220 → Engagement level

(a) Leadership Responsibilities for Quality in Audit

- Engagement Partner for Overall Quality on Each A.E.
- He should Emphasizes the following to Engagement Team (ET)
- Compliance with Prof. Standards & Legal Requirements
- Compliance with Firm's QC procedures
- Issuance of Appropriate A. Report
- Ability to Raise Concerns Without fear
- Quality is Essential & Indispensable in Engagement Performance

(b) Relevant Ethical Requirements

EP to remain ALERT for Evidence of Non-Compliance by ET through:-

- ◇ Inquiry
- ◇ Observation

If any Indication of Non-Compliance

↓
EP should

Consult with Others in the Firm

Determine Appropriate Actions

(c) Independence (I)

Form a Conclusion

↓
Obtain Relevant Info. from Firm

↓
Circumstances & Relationship that threatens I

↓
Evaluate Info. on Identified Breaches

↓
If these threaten I of Audit Engagement

↓
Take Appropriate Action to Eliminate threats

OR

Report Inability to firm

(D.) Acceptance & Continuance of Client Relationship & A. Engagement

E.P. → App. Procedures
→ Conclusions are appropriate

BUT if EP obtains Info that would
Cause firm to withdraw

↓
Communicate Info. promptly to firm.

⇒ Examples of such Info. are.

- Integrity of Owners ; Key Mgt., TCWG
- Competency of E Team to perform
A.E. & Availability of
 - Capabilities
 - Time
 - Resources
- Compliance with Relevant Ethical Req.
by firm & E. Team.
- Significant matters arisen
during A. E. & their Implications
for Continuing the relationship

(E.) Assignment of E. Team ET & Auditor's Expert has Appropriate Competence & Capabilities to :-

↓
Perform A.E. as per
Professional Stds. & legal
or Regulatory Requirements

↓
Auditor's Report
to be Appropriate

(F.) Monitoring

↳ helps in obtaining Reasonable
assurance of its Policies & Procedures
to system of QC are Relevant,
Adequate and Operating Effectively.

→ EP considers the following for
monitoring QC policies

Results
of firm's
monitoring
Process

Whether
Deficiencies
may affect
A. Engagement

(G.) Engagement Performance

↓
Direction, Supervision & Performance

EP → ET
(for Compliance with Stats & Legal Requirements
• Auditor's Report to be Appropriated)

↓
Consultation

ET

XYZ Consultation Co.

EP takes responsibility

- On Difficult Issues
- EP Satisfied that Consultation taken
- Nature, Scope & Conclusions of Consultation → Agreed b/w EP & Consultant
→ Conclusions have been Implemented

↓
Reviews

EP will Review as per Firm's Review Policies & Procedures of
→ SAAE has been Obtained to support the Conclusions Reached by → Reviewing Audit Documentation
→ Discussion with ET

↓
Engagement QC Review

Audit of FS of Listed Co. → Other A.E.

EP will determine:-

- EQC Reviewer had been appointed
- Discuss Significant matters with EQCR.
- Imp: Not date Audit Report until completion of EQCR

EQCR's Evaluation

- Discussion of Significant matters with ET.
- Review → FS & proposed A. Report
→ Selected A. Documentation
→ whether Appropriate

for Listed Entities

- Firm's Independence
- Consultation taken
- Selected A. Docu. reflects work performed

↓
Documentation

- By Auditor
→ Issues Identified
→ Compliance with I
→ Acceptance & Cont. of Client Relationships

- By EQCR
→ Procedures performed
→ Date before A. Report
→ NOT aware of any Unresolved matters

Differences of opinion

- within ET
- Consultant
- EP & EQCR
∴ follow firm's policies.